	Guide for Conducting Post-Construction Review Meetings
1	Prior to the meeting, confirm that all relevant recommended attendees listed in the Post-Construction
1	Review Guidelines were invited to the meeting.
2	Introduce those present.
3	Record minutes. Attendance record – Note names, company represented, address, telephone number,
3	and e-mail address.
4	Highlight purpose of the post-construction review meeting.
5	Discuss addenda that were issued.
6	At a minimum, review the following factors:
O	Plan clarity.
	Plan organization.
	Field survey accuracy and completeness.
	 Soil survey accuracy and completeness.
	 Overall plan accuracy and completeness.
	 Maintaining traffic plans, special provisions, and staging.
	 Permits and agreements information and reference.
	Utility information and coordination.
	Real estate details included and issues addressed.
	 Meeting MDOT/FHWA policies, standards, and guidelines.
	 Cooperation (human relations) and coordination (contacts).
	General constructability.
	 Consistency with approved construction estimate (or low bid).
	 Special detail plans and special provisions.
	 Quantity accuracy and reliability.
	 Proposal clarity and consistency with plans.
	 Construction progress clause and progress schedule.
	As-built project is consistent with final plans.
7	The following questions at a minimum should be answered at the post-construction review meeting:
	Were any work orders or contract modifications issued that need to be discussed?
	• Were there any significant quantity increases, decreases, extras, or value engineering change
	proposals?Were there any significant project delays, extensions of time?
	 Were there any significant project delays, extensions of time: Was there any maintaining traffic or construction staging issues?
	 Was there any maintaining traine of construction staging issues: Were there any significant claims or notice of intent to file a claim on the project that can be
	discussed?
	Were there any significant environmental issues or problems with any of the special
	environmental mitigation measures (i.e. wetlands, floodplain, soil erosion and sedimentation
	control, permits)?
	 Were there any significant real estate issues?
	 Were there any significant geotechnical issues?
	 Were there any significant utility issues?
	• Were there any issues with customers?
	• Were there any distinguishing or unique features that could have been handled differently
	by design?
	Were there any innovations used on the project? We appet him handled differently on this project than an acid in the plane on proposal (such
	• Was anything handled differently on this project than specified in the plans or proposal (such
	as method of payment, new special provision, special details, etc.)?Were there any work zone or construction safety issues?
	 Were there any work zone of construction safety issues? Were there any mobility issues?
	For any "yes" responses, provide complete explanations and suggestions for improvement.
	1 of any 100 Teoponices, provide complete explanations and suggestions for improvement.
8	Any other subject pertinent to the project, but not yet discussed?
9	Comments "for the record" by any participants or general discussion.
,	commence for the record of any participants of general diseasoion.